#### KEEGAN, WERLIN & PABIAN, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

265 FRANKLIN STREET

BOSTON. MASSACHUSETTS 02110-3113

TELECOPIERS: (617) 951-1354

(617) 951-1400

(617) 951-0586

November 26, 2004

Mary L. Cottrell, Secretary
Department of Telecommunication and Energy
One South Station, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor
Boston, MA 02202

Re:

D.T.E. 04-70 — Petition of Boston Edison Company and Commonwealth Electric Company d/b/a NSTAR Electric for Approvals Relating to the Issuance of Rate Reduction Bonds Pursuant to G.L. c. 164, § 1H

Dear Secretary Cottrell:

Enclosed please find the responses of Boston Edison Company and Commonwealth Electric Company d/b/a NSTAR Electric (the "Companies") to discovery questions asked by the Department of Telecommunications and Energy in the above-referenced proceeding, as listed on the following Discovery Log.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours, John K. Habib

John K. Habib

**Enclosures** 

cc:

Service List

Joan Foster Evans, Hearing Officer (2)

Colleen McConnell, Assistant Attorney General (2)

# LOG OF RESPONSES FILED

# **D.T.E. 04-70**

# November 26, 2004

AG-1-1	Filed November 23	Attachment AG-1-1 BULK CD-ROM
AG-1-2	Filed November 23	TO DELIC OF ROM
AG-1-3	Filed November 23	Attachment AG-1-3 CD-ROM
AG-1-4	Filed November 23	Attachment AG-1-4 (a) BULK CD-ROM Attachment AG-1-4 (b)
AG-1-5	Filed November 23	Attachment AG-1-5
AG-1-6	Filed November 23	Attachment AG-1-6 BULK CD-ROM
AG-1-7	Filed November 23	Attachment AG-1-7
DTE-1-1	Filed Herewith	
DTE-1-2	Filed Herewith	
DTE-1-3	Filed Herewith	
DTE-1-4	Filed Herewith	
DTE-1-5	Filed Herewith	
DTE-1-6	Filed Herewith	Attachment DTE-1-6(a) Attachment DTE-1-6(b) Attachment DTE-1-6(c) CONFIDENTIAL
DTE-1-7	Filed Herewith	TOTAL STEET O(C) CONTINUENTIAL
DTE-1-8	Filed Herewith	Attachment DTE-1-8 CONFIDENTIAL
DTE-1-9	Filed Herewith	- Sandan Die 1 0 CONTIDENTIAL
DTE-1-10	Filed Herewith	
DTE-1-11	Filed Herewith	
DTE-1-12	Filed Herewith	
DTE-1-13	Filed Herewith	
DTE-1-14	Filed Herewith	Attachment DTE-1-14 CONFIDENTIAL
DTE-1-15	Filed Herewith	TO THE CONTRACTOR
DTE-1-16	Filed Herewith	
DTE-1-17	Filed Herewith	

D.T.E. 04-70 Information Request: **DTE-1-1** 

November 24, 2004

Person Responsible: Emilie G. O'Neil

Page 1 of 1

# Information Request DTE-1-1

Refer to Page A-15 of the Draft Order submitted by the Companies. When the sale of the PPA savings to the Special Purpose Entity occurs, do the Companies stand to make a profit on the sale?

# Response

No, the Companies will not earn any profit on the sale of transition property.

Information Request: DTE-1-2

November 24, 2004

Person Responsible: Emilie G. O'Neil

Page 1 of 1

#### Information Request DTE-1-2

Refer to Page A-25 of the Draft Order submitted by the Companies. What methodology (e.g., comparative surveys, industry experience) do the Companies employ in establishing the service fee?

## Response

To support the true sale/bankruptcy analysis, the servicing obligation must be provided on arm's-length terms and compensated on market-based rates.

The proposed servicing fee is within the range of servicing fees paid in other rate reduction bond transactions and is identical to the servicing fee approved in D.T.E. 98-118. Please refer to the list of servicing fees provided as part of response to Information Request AG-1-5.

Department of Telecommunications and Energy

D.T.E. 04-70

Information Request: DTE-1-3

November 24, 2004

Person Responsible: Geoffrey O. Lubbock

Page 1 of 1

# Information Request DTE-1-3

Refer to Exh. NSTAR-COM-GOL-1. Explain why the Companies calculate the securitization issuance fee by including the fee itself (1.47 percent) in the principal amount sought. Explain whether this [is] double counting the fee.

# Response

Exhibit NSTAR-COM-GOL-1, line 9 shows issuance costs of \$1.407 million, which is calculated as 1 percent of the total securitization balance (line 8). Since the issuance cost is securitized, as is allowed under the statute (see G.L. c. 164, § 1H(a), "Transition Property" includes the right to recover transition costs and "the costs of providing, recovering, financing and refinancing the transition costs, including the costs of issuing, financing, servicing and retiring electric rate reduction bonds"), the calculation is performed as taking the per-securitization balance on line 8 dividing it by 99 percent and multiplying it by 1 percent as explained in the reference column on line 9. By doing so the issuance cost on line 9 is 1 percent of the securitization total of \$140.668 million on line 10.

There is no double counting of the fee. However, there is a small increase in the securitization because the Companies incur issuance costs on the amount of the RRBs required to finance the issuance costs. This amount is minimal, being 1 percent of the issuance fee, or approximately \$14,000.

Information Request: DTE-1-4

November 24, 2004

Person Responsible: Emilie G. O'Neil

Page 1 of 1

# **Information Request DTE-1-4**

Refer to Exh. NSTAR-EGO at 13. Are all of the various tranches described fixed rate debt instruments?

#### Response

All of the various tranches will be fixed-rate debt instruments.

Department of Telecommunications and Energy

D.T.E. 04-70

Information Request: DTE-1-5

November 26, 2004

Person Responsible: Emilie G. O'Neil

Page 1 of 2

#### Information Request DTE-1-5

Refer to Exh. NSTAR-EGO at 22, at lines 13-14. Reconcile the statements regarding the necessity for credit enhancement with the Companies' contributions of \$165,938 for Boston Edison and \$255,625 for Commonwealth as identified in Exh. NSTAR-EGO at 15-16.

#### Response

There are at least two forms of credit enhancement (in addition to the true-up mechanism described in Exhibit NSTAR-EGO) that will be required by the Rating Agencies. The first form is the capital subaccount, which will be contributed by the respective Companies. Each company at closing is expected to contribute 0.5 percent of the initial principal balance of the SPE's Debt Securities to the Capital Sub Account. This is the minimum capital contribution allowed under Internal Revenue Service rules and is necessary to obtain the appropriate rating from the Rating Agencies.

The second form of credit enhancement required by the Rating Agencies is the funding of the over-collateralization account. The amount of over-collateralization for an SPE is expected to be 0.5 percent of the initial principal balance of the SPE's Debt Securities, which the Companies will collect in equal amounts on each payment date over the expected life of the RRB Transaction. The \$165,938 for Boston Edison and the \$255,625 for Commonwealth as identified in Exhibit NSTAR-EGO at 15-16 represents the annual over-collateralization amounts expected to be funded in equal installments on each payment date. These amounts were derived by multiplying 0.5 percent by the principal amount of the SPE's Debt Securities (\$265.5 million for Boston Edison's SPE and \$409.0 million for Commonwealth's SPE) and then dividing by 8 years, which is the expected final maturity of the longest tranche.

Although NSTAR Electric does not anticipate that other credit enhancement (in addition to the capital sub-account and the over-collateralization account) will be required in connection with the RRB transaction, initial or ongoing costs might also include such additional credit enhancement if ultimately required by the Rating Agencies to assure the highest possible rating for the RRBs in order to maximize customer savings.

The credit enhancements described above are consistent with typically required credit enhancements in other rate reduction bond transactions and are the same as authorized in Boston Edison's prior rate reduction bond transaction (D.T.E. 98-118). Please see the financing orders contained in the response to Information Request AG-1-6, Attachment AG-1-6 (BULK).

Department of Telecommunications and Energy

D.T.E. 04-70

Information Request: DTE-1-6

November 24, 2004

Person Responsible: Geoffrey O. Lubbock

Page 1 of 1

#### Information Request DTE-1-6

Refer to Exhibit NSTAR-GOL at 6, lines 14-16. Provide the total dollar amount of the securitization represented by the "remaining fixed access charge and the incentive mitigation from the prior PPA buyouts of Lowell, Pilgrim and Seabrook."

#### Response

The dollar amount of the "remaining fixed access charge and the incentive mitigation from the prior PPA buyouts of Lowell, Pilgrim and Seabrook" securitization is \$14.284 million and the savings resulting there from are \$0.577 million.

Attached are the following exhibits calculating the securitization amount and the savings from the securitization of the above items and excluding the securitization of the deferral:

Attachment DTE-1-6(a) Exhibit NSTAR-COM-GOL-1 showing the securitization amount of \$14.284 million on Page 1 line 10.

Attachment DTE-1-6(b) Exhibit NSTAR-COM-GOL-2 showing the present value of the customer savings as \$0.577 million on the bottom line Col, D.

Attachment DTE-1-6(c) **CONFIDENTIAL** 

Exhibit NSTAR-COM-GOL-4 **CONFIDENTIAL** showing the transition charge calculation after the securitization. The securitization payments are reflected on page 5, column E2.

Please note that Exhibit NSTAR-COM-GOL-3 CONFIDENTIAL was not changed from the initial filing and is thus not included in the response to this information request.

# Monthly Cash flows from the securitization \$ in Millions

D.T.E. 04-70 Attachment DTE-1-6(a) Exhibit NSTAR-COM-GOL-1 Page 2 of 8

		Deferral	Tax Shield	Tax Shield	Tax Shield						Ī
			Deferral	Issuance	Principal	Pr	incipal	Interest	Payment		Balance
<u>Line</u>	4.5% Col. A	Col, B	Cot, C	0.143 Cal. D	Col E		Col. F	C-I C	0-1 11	Se	curitization
1 N	PV at 4.5%	\$ 15.651	\$ (6.087)	\$ (0.046)	Col. E \$ 4.623		11.977	Col. G \$ 2,307	Col. H \$ 14.284	\$	Col. I 14.284
_			<del>+ (0.001)</del>	<b>V</b> (0.040)	Ψ 1.020	<u>_</u>	11.01 (	Ψ 2,001			
2 3	Mar-05 Apr-05	\$ - 0.207	(0.048)	(0.000)	0.035		0.149	0.054	0.202	\$	14.284 14.135
4	May-05	0.207	(0.040)	(0.000)	0.000		0.149	0.054	0.202		13.986
5	Jun-05	0.207	(0.030)	(0.000)	0.022		0.149	0.052	0.202		13.837
6	Jul-05	0.207	, ,	` - '			0.149	0.052	0.201		13.689
7	Aug-05	0,207		-	-		0.149	0.051	0.200	•	13.540
8	Sep-05	0.207	(0.030)	(0.000)	0.022		0.149	0.051	0.200		13.391
9	Oct-05	0.207		-	-		0.149	0.050	0.199		13.242
10	Nov-05	0.207 0.207	(0 E24)	(0.004)	\$ 0.447	\$	0.149	0.050 \$ 0.049	0.198 \$ 0.198		13.093 12.945
12	Dec-05 Jan-06	0.207	(0.621)	(0.004)	\$ 0.447	Ф	0.149	0.049	\$ 0.198 0.197	_	12.796
13	Feb-06	0.193			_		0.149	0.048	0.197		12.647
14	Mar-06	0.193	(0.060)	(0.000)	0.046		0.149	0,047	0,196		12.498
15	Арг-06	0,193			-		0.149	0.047	0.198	i	12.349
16	May-06	0.193		•	-		0.149	0.046	0.195		12.201
17	Jun-06	0.193	(0.038)	(0.000)	0.029		0.149	0.046	0.195		12,052
18	Jul-06	0,193		-	-		0.149	0.045	0.194		11.903
19 20	Aug-06 Sep-06	0,193 0,193	(0.038)	(0.000)	0.029		0.149 0.149	0.045 0.044	0.193 0.193		11.754 11.606
20 21	Oct-06	0.193	(0.030)	(0.000)	0.029		0.149	0.044	0.193		11.457
22	Nov-06	0.193			-		0.149	0.043	0.192		11.308
23	Dec-06	0.193	(0.775)	(0.006)	\$ 0.596	\$	0.149	\$ 0.042	\$ 0.19		11.159
24	Jan-07	0.190		-	-		0.149	0.042	0.19	-	11,010
25	Feb-07	0.190		<del>.</del>	. •		0.149	0.041	0.190		10.862
26	Mar-07	0.190	(0.059)	(0.000)	0.046		0.149	0.041	0.190		10.713
27	Apr-07	0.190		-	-		0,149	0,040	0.189		10,564
28 29	May-07 Jun-07	0.190 0.190	(0.037)	(0.000)	0.029		0.149 0.149	0.040 0.039	0.188 0.188		10.415 10.266
30	Jul-07	0.190	(0.001)	(0.000)	0.028		0.149	0.038	0.183		10.288
31	Aug-07	0,190		-	_		0.149	0.038	0.18		9,969
32	Sep-07	0.190	(0.037)	(0.000)	0.029		0.149	0.037	0.186		9.820
.33	Oct-07	0.190			-		0.149	0.037	0.18	3	9.671
34	Nov-07	0.190		-			0.149	0.036	0.18		9.522
35	Dec-07	0.190	(0.761)	(0.006)	\$ 0.596	\$	0.149	\$ 0.036	\$ 0.18		9.374
36 37	Jan-08 Feb-08	0,179 0,179		-	-		0.149 0.149	0,035 0,035	0.18		9.225 9.076
38	Mar-08	0.179	(0.056)	(0.000)	0,046		0.149	0.035	0.18 0.18		8.927
39	Apr-08	0.179	(0.050)	(0.000)	0,040		0.149	0.033	0.18		8.779
40	May-08	0.179		_	-		0.149	0.033	0.18		8,630
41	Jun-08	0.179	(0.035)	(0.000)	0.029		0.149	0.032	0.18		8.481
42	Jul-08	0.179		` -	-		0.149	0.032	0.18	1	8.332
43	Aug-08	0.179		-	-		0,149	0.031	0.18		8.183
44	Sep-08	0.179	(0.035)	(0.000)	0.029		0.149	0.031	0.17		8.035
45	Oct-08	0.179		-	-		0.149	0.030	0.17		7.886
46	Nov-08 Dec-08	0.179 0.179	(0.718)	(0,006)	\$ 0.596	\$	0.149	0.030 \$ 0.029	0.17 \$ 0.17		7.737 7.588
48	Jan-09	0.179	(0.716)	(0,000)	φ (J.J30	φ	0.149	0.028	0.17		7,439
49	Feb-09	0.188			-		0.149	0.028	0.17		7.291
50	Mar-09	0.188		(0.000)	0.046		0.149	0.027	0.17		7.142
51	Apr-09	0.188	, ,	- '	-		0.149	0.027	0.17	6	6.993
52	May-09	0.188		-	-		0,149	0.026	0.17		6.844
53	Jun-09	0.188		(0.000)	0.029		0.149	0.026	0.17		6.696
54 55	Jul-09	0.188		-	-		0.149	0.025	0.17		6.547 6.398
55 56	Aug-09 Sep-09	0.188 0.188		(0.000)	0.029		0.149 0.149	0.025 0.024	0.17 0.17		6.398
57	Oct-09	0.188		(0.000)	0.023		0.149	0.023	0.17		6.100
58	Nov-09	0.188		-	-		0.149	0.023	0.17		5,952
59	Dec-09	0.188		(0.006)	\$ 0.596	\$	0.149	\$ 0.022	\$ 0.17		
60	Jan-10	0.127			-		0.149	0,022	0.17	1	5.654
61	Feb-10	0.127			<u>.</u>		0.149	0.021	0.17		5,505
62	Mar-10	0.127		(0.000)	0.046		0.149	0.021	0.16		5.356
63	Apr-10	0.127		-	-		0.149	0.020	0.16		5.208
64 65	May-10 Jun-10	0.127 0.127		(0.000)	0.029		0.149 0.149	0.020 0.019	0.16 0.16		5.059 4.910
66	Jul-10	0.127		(0.000)	0.023		0.149	0.018	0.16		4.761
67	Aug-10	0.127		-	_		0.149	0.018			4.612
68	Sep-10	0.127		(0.000)	0.029		0.149	0.017			4.464
69	Oct-10	0,127		. ,	-		0.149	0.017			4.315
70	Nov-10	0.127	•	-	-		0.149	0.016	0.16	5	4.166
71	Dec-10	0.127		(0.006	\$ 0.596	\$	0.149	\$ 0.016			
72	Jan-11	0.100		-	-		0,149	0.015			3.869
73	Feb-11	0.100		- (0.000)	0.046		0.149	0,015			3,720
74 75	Mar-11	0.100 0.100		(0.000)	0,046		0.149 0.149	0.014 0.013			3.571 3.422
75 76	Apr-11 May-11	0.100		-	-		0.149	0.013			3.422
77	Jun-11	0.100		(0.000)			0.149	0.012			3,125
			•								

:		Deferral	Tax Shield Deferral	Issuance	Tax Shield Principal	Principal	Interest	Payment	Balance
<u>Line</u>	<b>4.5%</b> Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	0.143 Col. D	Cot. E	Col. F	Col. G	Col. H	Securitization Col, I
78	Jul-11	0.100	00.0	-		0.149	0,012	0.161	2,976
79	Aug-11	0.100		_	_	0.149	0.012	0,160	2.827
80	Sep-11	0.100	(0.020)	(0.000)	0.029	0.149	0.011	0.159	2.678
81	Oct-11	0.100			-	0,149	0,010	0.159	2.529
82	Nov-11	0.100		-		0.149	0,009	0,158	2.381
83	Dec-11	0.100	(0.402)	(0.006)	\$ 0.596	\$ 0.149	\$ 0.009	\$ 0.158	\$ 2.232
84 85	Jan-12 Feb-12	0.087 0.087		-	-	0,149 0,149	800,0 800,0	0.157 0.157	2.083
86	Mar-12	0.087	(0.027)	(0.000)	0.046	0.149	0.007	0.156	1.934 1.785
87	Apr-12	0.087	(0.021)	(0.000)	-	0.149	0.007	0.155	1.637
88	May-12	0,087		-	-	0.149	0.006	0.155	1.488
89	Jun-12	0.087	(0.017)	(0.000)	0.029	0.149	0.006	0.154	1.339
90	Jul-12	0.087		-	-	0.149	0.005	0.154	1.190
91	Aug-12	0.087		-	-	0.149	0.004	0.153	1.042
92	Sep-12	0.087	(0.017)	(0.000)	0.029	0.149	0,004	0.153	0.893
93	Oct-12	0.087		-	-	0,149	0.003	0.152	0.744
94	Nov-12	0.087	(0.249)	(0,00c)	e 0.50e	0.149	0.003	0.152	0.595
96	Dec-12 Jan-13	0,087 0,038	(0.348)	(0.006)	\$ 0.596	\$ 0.149 0.149	\$ 0.002 0.002	\$ 0.151 0,150	\$ 0.446 0.298
97	Feb-13	0.038		-	_	0.149	0.002	0,150	0.149
98	Mar-13	0.038	(0.012)	(0.002)	\$ 0.175	0.149	0,001	0.149	-
99	Apr-13	0.038				210		,0	
100	May-13	0.038							ŀ
101	Jun-13	0.038	(0.007)					4	
102	Jul-13	0,038							ļ
103	Aug-13	0.038	/ <b>=</b>						. 1
104	Sep-13	0,038	(0.007)						
105 106	Oct-13 Nov-13	0.038							·
107	Dec-13	0.038	(0.152)						
108	Jan-14	0,030	(0.102)						
109	Feb-14	0.044							
110	Mar-14	0.044	(0.014)						
111	Apr-14	0.044							
112	May-14	0.044							
113	Jun-14	0.044	(0.009)						
114	Jul-14	0.044							
115	Aug-14	0.044	(0.000)						
116 117	Sep-14 Oct-14	0.044 0.044	(0.009)						
118	Nov-14	0.044							
119	Dec-14	0.044	(0.176)						
120	Jan-15	0.043	(3.173)						
121	Feb-15	0.043							
122	Mar-15	0,043	(0.013)						
123	Apr-15	0,043							
124	May-15	0.043							
125	Jun-15	0.043	(0.008)						1
126 127	Jul-15	0.043							
127 128	Aug-15 Sep-15	0.043 0.043	(800,0)						
129	Oct-15	0.043	(0,00)						
130	Nov-15	0.043							
131	Dec-15	0.043	(0.172)						
132	Jan-16	0.031							
133	Feb-16	0.031							
134	Mar-16	0.031	(0.010)						
135 136	Apr-16 May-16	0.031 0.031							
135	Jun-16	0.031	(0.006)						
138	Jul-16	0.031	(0.000)						
139	Aug-16	0.031							•
140	Sep-16	0.031	(0.006)						
141	Oct-16	0.031							
142	Nov-16	0.031							
143	Dec-16	0.031							
144	Jan-17	0.037							
145	Feb-17	0.037							j
146 147	Mar-17 Apr-17	0.037 0.037		1					
147	May-17	0.037							
149	Jun-17	0.037		ı					
150	Jul-17	0.037							
151	Aug-17	0.037							
152	Sep-17	0.037	(0.007)	+					
153	Oct-17	0.037							
154	Nov-17	0.037	•						

## Monthly Cash flows from the securitization

\$ in Millions

D.T.E. 04-70 Attachment DTE-1-6(a) Exhibit NSTAR-COM-GOL-1 Page 4 of 8

Shield 1 ncipal Interest Payment Balance
Securitization
ol, E Col. F Col. G Col. H Col. I
•
ļ
j
}
<u> </u>
İ
l
!
1
İ
······································

#### Monthly Cash flows from the securitization

\$ in Millions

D.T.E. 04-70 Attachment DTE-1-6(a) Exhibit NSTAR-COM-GOL-1 Page 5 of 8

		Deferral	Tax Shield Deferral	Tax Shield	Tax Shield Principal	Principal	Interest	Payment	Balance
Line	4.5%		2010114	0.143	i illioipui	i illiopa,	III.C, Cat	1 dyllion	Securitization
<u> </u>	Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F	Col. G	Col. H	Col. I
232	May-24	0.034				<del></del>			
233	Jun-24	0.034	(0.007)						
234	Jul-24	0.034	, ,						
235	Aug-24	0.034							
236	Sep-24	0.034	(0.007)						
237	Oct-24	0.034							
238	Nov-24	0.034							
239	Dec-24	0,034	(0.135)			•		•	
240	Jan-25	0.022							
241	Feb-25	0.022							
242	Маг-25	0.022	(0.007)						
243	Арг-25	0,022							
244	May-25	0.022							
245	Jun-25	0.022	(0.004)						
246	Jul-25	0.022							
247	Aug-25	0.022							
248	Sep-25	0.022	(0.004)						
249	Oct-25	0.022							
250	Nov-25	0.022							
251	Dec-25	0.022	(0.088)						
252	Jan-26	0.029							
253	Feb-26	0.029							
254	Mar-26	0.029	(0.009)						
255	Apr-26	0.029							
256	May-26	0.029							
257	Jun-26	0.029	(0.006)						
258	Jul-26	0.029							
259	Aug-26	0.029							
260	Sep-26	0,029	(0,006)						
261	Oct-26	0,029							
262	Nov-26	0.029							
263	Dec-26	0.029	(0.115)						
264			\$ (7.942)	\$ (0.056		\$ 14,284	\$ 2,598	\$ 16.882	
1265	Unrecovered T	axes			(0.000)	1			

# **Deferral Securitization amount**

D.T.E. 04-70 Attachment DTE-1-6(a) Exhibit NSTAR-COM-GOL-1 Page 6 of 8

ij	Line Description	Total	Sour
	Col. A	Col. B	
τ.	State Tax Rate	6.50%	
2	Percentage Payment by quarter - State Taxes		
က	15-Mar	40%	6 Line
4	15-Jun	25%	6 Line
5	15-Sep	25%	25% Line
ဖ	15-Dec	10%	6 Line
7			
Φ	Federal Tax Rate	35.00%	
0	Effective Tax Rate	39.225% (1 - 6.	6 (1-6.
10	Effective Federal Tax Rate	32.725% line 2	6 line 2

D.T.E. 04-70
Attachment DTE-1-6(a)
Exhibit NSTAR-COM-GOL-1
Page 7 of 8

Securitzation Payments Col. B	\$	<u>2</u>	202		06012	S	1,929	040	0.450	\$ 16,882
<u>Year</u> Col. A	Apr '05 - Dec '05	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Total
Line #		7	m	4	5	9	7	œ	O	10

Col. B from Pages 2 thru 5, Column H. Col. B to Exhibit NSTAR-COM-GOL-4, Page 5, Column E2.

	Monthly ⊤≏tal	ם ס		Col.1	0.207	0.193	0.190	0.179	0.188	0.127	0.100	0.087	0.038	0.044	0.043	0.031	0.037	0.036	0.027	0.035	0.034	0.025	0.034	0.034	0.022	0.029
	Annual Totol	Other	5	Col.H	2.480	2.321	2.281	2.152	2.251	1.521	1.205	1.042	0.455	0.527	0.514	0.366	0.446	0.434	0.324	0.418	0.413	0.299	0.405	0.404	0.265	0.345
	Seabrook	Duyout Sol K		l		0.180															0.151	0.047	0.169	0.185	0.061	0.160
	Seabrook	Duydowii	Page 5	Col.F	0.483	0.468	0.456	0.441	0.427	0.414	0.400	0.385	0.374	0.359	0.345	0.333	0.319	0.303	0.293	0.277	0.262	0.252	0.236	0.219	0.204	0.185
	Contract	Col	144		0.712					0.548	0.647	0.492	•	ı	1	1	1	ı	•	-	ī	!	•	1	•	•
	Cogen.	Duyout Col H	Page 5	ı	0.419					0.476			,	•	t	t	7	,	t	•		1	1	ì	•	
	Fixed	Colingorient	Cul. G Page 5	ĺ	0.226					1	1			ī	•	1	1	1		1	ī		•	ı	,	1
Net	Fixed		Col. n Page 3	Col.B	0.458	0.418	0.382	0.343	0.305	ι	,	ī	1	1	t											
		- }	Ref to NSTAR-COM- GOL-3	Col.A		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026

Note Column H is the sum of columns B through G Column I is column H divíded by 12 11/24/2004 3:09 PM

D.T.E. 04-70 Attachment DTE-1-6(b) Exhibit NSTAR-COM-GOL-2 Page 1 of 1

	Customer	Savings	Col.D	٠ <del>ده</del>	ι <del>6</del>	, 63	\$ 0.056	\$ (0.018)	\$ 0.161	\$ (0.489)	\$ (0.724)	9	0	\$ 0.527	0	\$ 0.366	S	O	\$ 0.324	0	\$ 0.413	\$ 0.299	\$ 0.405	\$ 0.404	\$ 0.265	\$ 0.345	ار	\$ 0.577
Deferral	Securitization	Revenues	Col.C	\$ 74.654	174.902	178.400	138.708	98.466	76.447	76.585	76,520	77.372	74.212	75.990	75.025	57.830	14.138	4.923	4.770	4.612	4.908	2.504	0.755	1	•	3	\$ 1,291.720	\$ 891.160
Base	Case	Revenues	Col.B	\$ 74,654	174.902	178.400	138.765	98.448	76.608	76.096	75.796	76.565	74.217	76.517	75.539	58.196	14.584	5,357	5.094	5.030	5.321	2.803	1.160	0.404	0.265	0.345	\$ 1,295.065	
		Year	Col.A	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total	8.200%

Discounted at Commonwealth After Tax Discount Rate used in the Company's Retructuring Filing

Information Request: DTE-1-7

November 24, 2004

Person Responsible: Geoffrey O. Lubbock

Page 1 of 1

# **Information Request DTE-1-7**

Refer to Exhibit NSTAR-GOL-I. For the savings row of the table, please break out the percentage of: (a) savings due to the purchase power contract savings, and (b) savings due to the securitization.

# Response

Please see the response to Information Request DTE-1-8.

Department of Telecommunications and Energy

D.T.E. 04-70

Information Request: DTE-1-8

November 26, 2004

Person Responsible: Geoffrey O. Lubbock

Page 1 of 2

#### Information Request DTE-1-8

Refer to Exhibit NSTAR-GOL-l. Of the total savings of \$114 million, please complete the following table:

04-61

04-78

04-70

Total

**Power Savings** 

Securitization Savings

**Total Savings** 

#### Response

As stated previously by Mr. Lubbock in the related review of the MASSPOWER and Dartmouth PPAs, D.T.E. 04-61 and D.T.E. 04-78, respectively, there is no simple or accurate breakdown between the savings resulting from the securitization and the savings resulting from the termination payments (Tr. at 92-93, 96-97 [D.T.E. 04-61]; Tr. at 55-57 [D.T.E. 04-78]). The two are inexorably intertwined in the termination agreements, especially since the agreements are both contingent on being securitized.

The MASSPOWER and Dartmouth termination agreements were structured as and are contingent on Department approval of securitized financing because they represent huge outlays of cash that need to be financed over time. The provisions of the recovery of above-market PPAs in the variable components of the transition charge in the Boston Edison Restructuring Settlement and the Commonwealth Restructuring Plan were predicated on the annual recovery of such above-market costs from customers in a short time (the annual reconciliation period). A lump-sum termination essentially liquidates future above-market amounts, but its recovery over an annual reconciliation period would result in a significant price increase or rate shock, which would likely violate the Department's rate-design objective of rate continuity. The alternative would be for NSTAR Electric to finance the termination and collect the amount over time. Because the provisions of the Commonwealth Restructuring Plan and Boston Edison Restructuring Settlement are not designed for long-term deferrals of liquidated variable transition costs, they are not structured for the financing of a termination payment. As explained in the response to Information Request DTE-1-15, the carrying charges on deferred transition costs are vastly different. The Commonwealth carrying charge is designed for very short-term transactions and the Boston Edison carrying charge is

D.T.E. 04-70

Information Request: DTE-1-8

November 26, 2004

Person Responsible: Geoffrey O. Lubbock

Page 2 of 2

based on long-term transactions, but with a very low return on equity of 7.99 percent (Boston Edison Settlement Agreement page 254). Moreover, neither mechanism is appropriately adjusted for deferred income taxes.

Absent the linkage between these termination payments and the statutory securitization mechanism, an accurate determination of "Power Savings" would require a separate determination of customer impacts of the termination payments in the absence of securitization. One issue that makes such a determination difficult is the need to develop a new carrying-charge mechanism appropriate for compensation of the costs to provide the non-securitized financing. This calculation would need to include the costs of restructuring the financing of both companies, including the Commonwealth makewhole provision. It is this alternative financing cost that is especially difficult to estimate (Tr. at 57 [D.T.E. 04-78].

An approximation of the requested break-down of the savings can be determine by using Mr. Hevert's calculation of the reduction in the NPV of above-market costs as a proxy for the contract savings, assuming that there is no financing — either through securitization or through a non-securitized financing. This simplified approach provides an order of magnitude of the two savings components and are provided in attachment DTE-1-8 (CONFIDENTIAL).

1

As indicated above, because the MASSPOWER and Dartmouth termination agreements are contingent on approval of securitization, it is impossible to speculate about the terms of a non-securitized termination, or even whether it would have been possible to negotiate the termination of these PPAs without the ability to securitize the payments.

Information Request: DTE-1-9

November 23, 2004

Person Responsible: Geoffrey O. Lubbock

Page 1 of 1

#### Information Request DTE-1-9

Refer to Exhibit NSTAR-GOL at 17. Explain whether the break-even interest rates (e.g., 9.1 percent; 8.4 percent; 7.9 percent) calculation includes savings from the purchase power contracts.

#### Response:

Yes, the calculation includes savings from the purchased power contracts. For the calculation, please see the response to Information Request DTE-1-14.

D.T.E. 04-70

Information Request: DTE-1-10

November 23, 2004

Person Responsible: Geoffrey O. Lubbock

Page 1 of 1

#### Information Request DTE-1-10

Refer to the Exh. NSTAR-GOL-I, Securitization Amounts and Securitization Savings. Please provide all updated amounts for each column and row, and include an additional row titled "Without Securitization Amounts." The costs in this row shall be the costs of the buyouts assuming securitization is not available. Calculate the row "Securitization Savings" by subtracting the amounts of the row "Securitization Amounts" from the row "Without Securitization Amounts." Include additional attachments to break down each amount of the revised Exhibit NSTAR-GOL-I showing that these amounts are the summation of the amounts provided in the attachments.

#### Response:

Please see the response to Information Request DTE-1-8.

Department of Telecommunications and Energy

D.T.E. 04-70

Information Request: DTE-1-11

November 24, 2004

Person Responsible: Geoffrey O. Lubbock

Page 1 of 1

#### **Information Request DTE-1-11**

Refer to IR-DTE 1-10. Please list all the costs included in the row "Securitization Amounts," and provide for each one the legal provision supporting its recovery.

#### Response

As provided in G.L. c. 164, § 1H(b)(1), an electric company is authorized to issue RRBs to securitize transition costs. Transition costs are defined in G.L. c. 164, § 1G(b)(1), which provides that an electric company may recover certain categories of costs. The costs included in the "Securitization Amounts" as filed in D.T.E. 04-61 (MASSPOWER), Exhibit NSTAR-GOL-2; D.T.E. 04-78 (Dartmouth), Exhibit NSTAR-GOL-2; and D.T.E. 04-70, Exhibit NSTAR-COM-GOL-1 are as follows:

- PPA termination amounts (net of tax impacts)
- Commonwealth Deferral balance at December 31 2004
- Make whole provision costs on outstanding debt
- Costs associated with the transactions, including consulting, legal and bond-issuance costs)

The above-market costs relating to the MASSPOWER and Dartmouth PPAs and the transition costs associated with the Commonwealth Deferral are presently being recovered from customers through the Transition Charge as approved by the Department. See e.g., the Boston Edison Settlement Agreement, approved by the Department in D.P.U./D.T.E. 96-23 and Commonwealth's Restructuring Plan approved by the Department in D.P.U./D.T.E. 97-111 and D.P.U./D.T.E. 97-111-A. Continued recovery of these costs have subsequently been acknowledged and approved in the annual reconciliation proceedings filed by NSTAR Electric. The termination payments and associated transaction costs necessary to achieve customer savings are under review and must be approved in D.T.E. 04-61 (MASSPOWER) and D.T.E. 04-78 (Dartmouth).

Information Request: DTE-1-12

November 24, 2004

Person Responsible: Geoffrey O. Lubbock

Page 1 of 1

## Information Request DTE-1-12

Refer to Exh. NSTAR-GOL, page 10 line 4, to page 11 line 2. Please explain the reasons why the Companies are requesting the following cost to be actual costs: the transaction costs of issuing the rate reduction bonds, ongoing transaction costs (other than legal and accounting fees and other miscellaneous fees), any required credit enhancement (collectively with the above transition costs, the "the actual reimbursable transition cost amounts").

# Response

G.L. c. 164, §1G(a)(2) requires the Department to audit transition costs amounts periodically in order to compare "assumed" transition costs amounts to "actual" transition costs amounts. In the event that the assumed transition costs amounts exceed actual transition costs amounts, a company is required to provide a uniform rate credit to its customers. The transaction costs of issuing the rate reduction bonds, ongoing transaction costs (other than legal and accounting fees and other miscellaneous fees), and any required credit enhancement are all established pursuant to a rate schedule and will be set forth in the Issuance Advice Letters. All of these costs will have been reviewed by the Agencies as described in Exhibit NSTAR-EGO. Because all of these costs are fixed (for example, 0.5 percent of the initial principal amount of the RRBs) or actually known at that time (for example, the underwriting spread), there are no "assumed" amounts. All of these amounts will be known at the time of filing of the Issuance Advice Letter and cannot vary. As a result, there is no need for an audit to compare assumed to actual costs for these items.

Information Request: DTE-1-13

November 24, 2004

Person Responsible: Geoffrey O. Lubbock

Page 1 of 1

# Information Request DTE-1-13

Refer to Exh. NSTAR-GOL, page 11 line 16, to page 12 line 3. Please explain how the Companies will provide to the ratepayers the uniform rate credit as it is determined in G.L. c. 164, § IG(a)(2).

#### Response

In the event that assumed transition costs amounts included in the financing order exceed actual transition costs amounts, a company will have, in essence, issued more rate reduction bonds than is necessary. However, because the structure of the rate reduction bonds would not work if the RTC Charge could be reduced as a result of this over issuance, G.L. c. 164, §1G(a)(2) provides for a uniform rate credit to customers in the amount of the excess transition costs amounts, plus a carrying charge. The uniform rate credit is provided to customers against rates other than the RTC Charge.

Department of Telecommunications and Energy

D.T.E. 04-70

Information Request: DTE-1-14

November 26, 2004

Person Responsible: Geoffrey O. Lubbock

Page 1 of 2

#### Information Request DTE-1-14

Refer to Exh. NSTAR-GOL, page 16 line 16, to page 17 line 21. Please provide the assumptions and calculations for:

- A) The coupon rate (the securitization rate) of 4.5 percent that is used to calculate the net present values of DTE 1-10, row "Securitization Amounts."
- B) The break-even rate for MASSPOWER
- C) The break-even rate for Dartmouth.
- D) The break-even rate for the securitization of the deferral.

#### Response

#### CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENTS

Attachment DTE-1-14 (CONFIDENTIAL) provides the requested calculations, with appropriate record citations for the break-even references. Page 1 of Attachment DTE-1-14 (CONFIDENTIAL) computes the break-even amounts contained in the initial filing and page 2 of Attachment DTE-1-14 (CONFIDENTIAL) computes the break-even amounts for MASSPOWER and Dartmouth using updated savings projections filed in D.T.E. 04-61 and D.T.E. 04-78, respectively.

- A) The coupon rate (the securitization rate) of 4.5 percent was the approximate market rate at the time the filings were being prepared at which the rate reduction bonds would be issued assuming a closing in the first quarter 2005. This rate was provided by the investment bankers with whom NSTAR Electric and the Agencies have consulted, and is based on the investment bankers' analysis of market rates for similar securities.
- B) The breakeven rate for MASSPOWER was 9.7 percent in the original filing as shown on page 1 of Attachment DTE-1-14 (CONFIDENTIAL), increasing to 11.8 percent in the latest update shown on page 2.
- C) The breakeven rate for Dartmouth was 8.8 percent in the original filing as shown on page 1 of Attachment DTE-1-14 (CONFIDENTIAL), decreasing to 6.4 percent in the latest update shown on page 2.
- D) The breakeven rate for Deferral Securitization was 7.8 percent in the original filing as shown on pages 1 and 2 of Attachment DTE-1-14 (CONFIDENTIAL). There is no update to this number.

Department of Telecommunications and Energy

D.T.E. 04-70

Information Request: DTE-1-14

November 26, 2004

Person Responsible: Geoffrey O. Lubbock

Page 2 of 2

The method of calculation was explained in Exhibit NSTAR-GOL, page 17, lines 7 to 9, with the details in Attachment DTE-1-14 (CONFIDENTIAL). The amounts listed Exhibit NSTAR-GOL, page 17, lines 10, 16 and 19 are slightly different from those stated above for the initial filing. Exhibit NSTAR-GOL was in error.

D.T.E. 04-70

Information Request: DTE-1-15

November 24, 2004

Person Responsible: Geoffrey O. Lubbock

Page 1 of 2

#### Information Request DTE-1-15

Refer to Exh. NSTAR-GOL, page 28 line 19, to page 29 line 21. Please explain if the level of savings proposed in the present filing, in accordance with G.L. c. 164, § 1H, would be affected by the RTC Charge increase, as a result of the True-Up Advice Letter. Explain how the Companies plan to keep such level of savings consistent with G.L. c. § 164 1H. In addition, identify other components of the transition charge that would be deferred, and explain how the carrying charges would be calculated.

#### Response

The level of savings proposed by the present filing would not change as a result of the true-up advice letter.

As stated in Exhibit NSTAR-GOL, page 28, line 23 through page 29 line 2, "[t]he amount applicable to the RTC Charge will change, and the other components will be adjusted so that the overall transition charge will remain the same."

The rate to collect the Access Charge cost each year is a forecast based on the expected kilowatt-hour ("kWh") sales. Similarly the rate to collect the RTC charge is a forecast based on the expected kWh sales. Generally, the forecast is accurate to within 1 percent to 2 percent of the actual sales, so the adjustment is slight.

Although the RTC adjustment does not change, the transition charge, the difference between forecast and actual sales (or indeed forecast and actual costs for purchased power contracts or any of the other variable cost component shown on page 4 of the GOL-3 and 4 exhibits) does result in an over- or under-collection each year of the total transition charge. These over- or under-collections are not specifically attributed to transition charge components in the filings. Rather the amounts are shown as over- or under-collections of the transition charge on Page 1, column K of the GOL-3 and 4 exhibits.

Historically, Boston Edison has over-collected and pays customers 10.88 percent each year on the balance of the over-collection account<sup>1</sup>.

Boston Edison Settlement Agreement Page 239:

<sup>&</sup>quot;2.9(f) Annual Pre-tax Carrying Charge Component (Schedule 2, Page 2, Col. G) is the balance of the prior year in the account as shown in Col. I multiplied by the Carrying Charge Rate shown on Schedule 1, Page 14, as updated by Sections 1.2 and 1.7."

D.T.E. 04-70

Information Request: DTE-1-15

November 24, 2004

Person Responsible: Geoffrey O. Lubbock

Page 2 of 2

Historically, Commonwealth has under-collected and is paid by customers the customer deposit rate - currently 1.65 percent - in the balance of the under-collection.<sup>2</sup>

D.P.U./D.T.E. 97-111, at 75-77 (1998) ("the Department directs [Commonwealth] to use the interest rate used for customer deposits for application to the balance in the reconciliation account").

Information Request: DTE-1-16

November 24, 2004

Person Responsible: Geoffrey O. Lubbock

Page 1 of 1

#### Information Request DTE-1-16

Refer to the Exhibit NSTAR-GOL, page 29, lines 18 to 21. The Company states "...the portion of such other rate or charge that is not collected on a current basis would be deferred at the carrying charge from time to time in effect applicable to that portion of the transition charge not constituting the RTC Charge." Please identify the possible other rates or charges that would be deferred. Provide an estimation of the amount to be deferred with the respective carrying charges. Discuss how these costs should be added to the Securitization Amounts to calculate the actual savings for customers.

#### Response

The quoted testimony refers in particular to the deferral of charges caused by the statutory requirement that rates for customers of Standard Offer Service be reduced by 15 percent, on an inflation-adjusted basis<sup>1</sup>. Currently, there is no expectation that this cap will be extended beyond February 28, 2005 so there is little likelihood that the need for deferral will occur. If no cap exists and the Department approves recovery of the full transition charge, there is no deferred recovery.

If a cap beyond February 28, 2005 caused deferrals, NSTAR Electric would continue its practice of deferring the Access Charge to meet the cap. Please see the response to Information Request DTE-1-15.

Exhibit NSTAR-GOL, page 29, lines 8 through 15 states: "if adjustments to the transition charge necessary to meet rate reduction required under G.L. c. 164, § 1[B(b)] in effect through February 28, 2005, or any continuation of the, or a similarly required, rate reduction as a result of any subsequent or successor legislation, would cause the transition charge of a Company to fall below the required RTC Charge for its SPE's Debt Securities, as of the time of the RTC Charge adjustment, components of such Company's rate and charges, other than the RTC Charge, would be adjusted as necessary to satisfy such rate reduction requirement."

Department of Telecommunications and Energy

D.T.E. 04-70

Information Request: DTE-1-17

November 24, 2004

Person Responsible: Geoffrey O. Lubbock

Page 1 of 1

#### Information Request DTE-1-17

Refer to Exh. NSTAR-EGO, page 17 line 3, to page 18 line 15. Please explain the effect of the "True-Up Advice Letters" and the "Non-Routine True-Up Letters" on the estimated savings proposed in the present filing consistent with G.L. c. 164, § 1H.

#### Response

There is no impact on the estimated savings. Please see the responses to Information Request DTE-1-15 and Information Request DTE-1-16.